## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

LEOLA ADELENA STUART,	§	
Plaintiff,	<b>§</b> <b>§</b> 8	CIVIL NO.
VS.	§ §	SA-18-CV-00466-OLG
TRINIDAD MANRIQUEZ, SAPD OFFICER,	§ § §	
Defendant	8	

## ORDER RESETTING INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

Before the Court in the above-styled and numbered cause of action is Defendant Trinidad Manriquez's Motion to Reset Initial Pretrial Conference [#58]. By her motion, Defendant informs the Court of her conflict with the Initial Pretrial Conference currently set for August 28, 2019. The Court will therefore reset the conference as follows:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED Defendant Trinidad Manriquez's Motion to Reset Initial Pretrial Conference [#58] is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the conference currently set for August 28, 2019 is CANCELED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this case is **set** for an **Initial Pretrial Conference** at **1:30 p.m.** on **August 27, 2019**, in Courtroom B on the 4<sup>th</sup> Floor of the John H. Wood, Jr. United States Courthouse, 655 E. Cesar Chavez Boulevard, San Antonio, Texas, 78206.

At the conference, the Court will enter a Scheduling Order in this case and assign the parties a date for a jury or bench trial. Plaintiff and counsel for Defendant Manriquez may appear by phone for the conference. Counsel should contact Valeria Sandoval, the Courtroom

Deputy, for call-in information at <a href="mailto:chestney\_chambers@txwd.uscourts.gov">chestney\_chambers@txwd.uscourts.gov</a>. The use of speaker phones is prohibited during a telephonic appearance.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties confer in the manner required by Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and submit a Joint Discovery/Case Management Plan that answers the following questions no later than Monday, August 26, 2019:

- 1. Are there any outstanding jurisdictional issues? For removed cases based on diversity jurisdiction, do the parties agree that the amount in controversy exceeded \$75,000 at the time of removal? If not, each party should state its position on the amount in controversy.
- 2. Are there any unserved parties? If more than 90 days have passed since the filing of the Complaint or petition, should these unserved parties be dismissed?
- 3. What are the causes of action, defenses, and counterclaims in this case? What are the elements of the cause(s) of action, defenses, and counterclaims pled?
- 4. Are there any agreements or stipulations that can be made about any facts in this case or any element in the cause(s) of action?
- 5. State the parties' views and proposals on all items identified in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3).
- 6. What, if any, discovery has been completed? What discovery remains to be done? Have the parties considered conducting discovery in phases?
- 7. What, if any, discovery disputes exist?
- 8. Have the parties discussed the desirability of filing a proposed order pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502?
- 9. Have the parties discussed mediation?

The Court will address the substance of the parties' joint report and discovery plan at the Initial Pretrial Conference.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties submit a proposed scheduling order pursuant to Local Rule CV-16(c), no later than Monday, August 26, 2019. The Court will discuss with the parties any proposed changes to the Court's standard scheduling order based on the unique circumstances of this case at the Initial Pretrial Conference.

The proposed scheduling order shall contain suggestions for the following deadlines:

- 1. A report on alternative dispute resolution in compliance with Local Rule CV-88 (the standard period being <u>90 days</u> after the first defendant's appearance).
- 2. The parties asserting claims for relief shall submit a written offer of settlement to opposing parties (the standard period being 90 days after the first defendant's appearance), and

each opposing party shall respond, in writing (the standard period being <u>104 days</u> after the first defendant's appearance).

- 3. The parties shall file all motions to amend or supplement pleadings or to join additional parties by (the standard period being 120 days after the first defendant's appearance).
- 4. All parties asserting claims for relief shall file their designation of testifying experts and shall **SERVE ON ALL PARTIES**, **BUT NOT FILE the materials required by FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)(2)(B)** by (the standard period being 90 days before the discovery deadline). Parties resisting claims for relief shall file their designation of testifying experts and shall **SERVE ON ALL PARTIES**, **BUT NOT FILE the materials required by FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)(2)(B)** by (the standard period being 45 days before the close of discovery). All designations of rebuttal experts shall be filed within 14 days of receipt of the report of the opposing expert.
- 5. An objection to the reliability of an expert's proposed testimony under Federal Rule of Evidence 702 shall be made by motion, specifically stating the basis for the objection and identifying the objectionable testimony, within (the standard period being 30 days) days of receipt of the written report of the expert's proposed testimony, or within (the standard period being 30 days) days of the expert's deposition, if a deposition is taken, whichever is later.
- 6. The parties shall complete discovery (the standard period being <u>six months</u> after the first defendant's appearance). Counsel may by agreement continue discovery beyond the deadline, but there will be no intervention by the Court except in extraordinary circumstances, and no trial setting will be vacated because of information obtained in post-deadline discovery.
- 7. All dispositive motions shall be filed (the standard period being 30 days after the discovery deadline). Dispositive motions as defined in Local Rule CV-7(c) and responses to dispositive motions shall be limited to (the standard page limit for this Court is 20) pages in length.

8. This case is set for jury selection	on and trial or be	ench trial on _	, 2019 at	a.m.
The final pretrial conference is set for	, 2019 at	a.m.		

The parties shall submit the proposed order in a form similar to the attached.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 5th day of August, 2019.

ELIZABETH S. ("BETSY") CHESTNEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

VS.	Plaintiff, Defendant.	ST S		
	J	8		
	<b>SCHEDULI</b>	NG RECOMME	NDATIONS	
	parties recommend that the course of this case:	following deadlin	nes be entered in the scheduling order to	
	A report on alternative dispu	te resolution in co	ompliance with Local Rule CV-88 shall	
2. The parties asserting claims for relief shall submit a written offer of settlement to opposing parties by, and each opposing party shall respond, in writing, by				
	The parties shall file all no parties by		d or supplement pleadings or to join	
and shall so by testifying e CIV. P. 26	erve on all parties, but not fi Parties reexperts and shall serve on all	ile the materials resisting claims for ll parties, but not All de	e their designation of testifying experts equired by FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)(2)(B) r relief shall filed their designation of file the materials required by FED. R. esignations of rebuttal experts shall be pposing expert.	
Evidence 7 identifying expert's pr	702 shall be made by moti the objectionable testimony	ion, specifically s y, within day	oposed testimony under Federal Rule of stating the basis for the objection and as of receipt of the written report of the expert's deposition, if a deposition is	

information obtained in post-deadline discovery.

6. The parties shall complete all discovery on or before \_\_\_\_\_\_. Counsel may by agreement continue discovery beyond the deadline, but there will be no intervention by the Court except in extraordinary circumstances, and no trial setting will be vacated because of

7. All dispositive motions shall be filed no later motions as defined in Local Rule CV-7(c) and response to pages in length.	than Dispositive es to dispositive motions shall be limited
8. This case is set for jury selection and trial or large The final pretrial conference is set for, 2019 at Rule CV-16(e)-(g) regarding matters to be filed in advantage.	a.m. The parties shall consult Local
9. All of the parties who have appeared in the acthe proposed scheduling order on and the parties have (following positions and reasons are given by the parties the proposed scheduling by Plain why all parties have not been served	agreed/disagreed) as to its contents. The for the disagreement as to the contents of
	(Signature)
	(Print or type name)
	ATTORNEY FOR
	(Print or type name)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE